

HIGHER ORDER TANGENT BUNDLES

ALI SURI

ABSTRACT. The tangent bundle $T^k M$ of order k , of a smooth Banach manifold M consists of all equivalent classes of curves that agree up to their accelerations of order k . For a Banach manifold M and a natural number k first we determine a smooth manifold structure on $T^k M$ which also offers a fiber bundle structure for $(\pi_k, T^k M, M)$. Then we introduce a particular lift of linear connections on M to geometrize $T^k M$ as a vector bundle over M . More precisely based on this lifted nonlinear connection we prove that $T^k M$ admits a vector bundle structure over M if and only if M is endowed with a linear connection. As a consequence applying this vector bundle structure we lift a Riemannian metric from M to $T^k M$. Also, using the projective limit techniques, we declare a generalized Fréchet vector bundle structure for $T^\infty M$ over M .

Keywords: Banach manifold; Linear connection; Connection map; Higher order tangent bundle; Fréchet manifold; lifting of Riemannian metrics.

1. INTRODUCTION

Higher order tangent bundles $T^k M$ of a smooth manifold M as the space of all equivalent classes of curves that agree up to their accelerations of order k , is a natural generalization of the notion of tangent bundle TM . Higher order geometry had witnessed a wide interest due to the works of Bucataru, Dodson, Miron, Morimoto and others [4], [5], [10], [11]. The geometry of $T^k M$ in the finite dimensional case is developed by Miron and his school [10]. They studied higher order Lagrangians and also prolongation of Riemannian metrics, Finsler structures and Lagrangians to $T^k M$.

However, even for the case of $n = 2$, constructing a vector bundle (for abbreviation v.b.) structure on $T^2 M$ over M is not as evident as in the case of TM . More precisely sometimes it is impossible to define a v.b. structure on $T^2 M$. Dodson and Galanis [5] proved that for a Banach manifold M , $T^2 M$ can be thought of as a Banach vector bundle over M if and only if M is endowed with a linear connection. The author proved the same result in a different way to geometrize the bundle of accelerations with more tools like second order covariant derivative, exponential mapping and an appropriate second order Lie bracket [12]. In this paper, in order to geometrize higher order tangent bundles, first we introduce an special lifted connection which will plays a pivotal role in our main theorem. Then we prove that for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $T^k M$ can be thought of as a v.b. over M with the structure group $GL(\mathbb{E}^k)$ if and only if M admits a linear connection. Furthermore this result

considerably eases constructing a v.b. structure on $\pi_{ji} : T^j M \longrightarrow T^i M$ for $j > i$. We shall also show that if for some $k \geq 2$, $(\pi_k, T^k M, M)$ becomes a v.b. isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$, then for any $n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, $T^n M$ admits a v.b. structure over M . More precisely in the case of infinite order, $T^\infty M$ becomes a Fréchet manifold which may be thought of as a generalized v.b. over M . Moreover the structure group becomes a generalized Fréchet lie group which represents the advantage of using projective limit techniques.

Another old problem in geometry is that of prolongation of Riemannian structures to the tangent bundles $T^k M$. This problem can also be solved as a consequence of our main theorem.

Trough this paper all the maps and manifolds are assumed to be smooth, but, except in section 4, a lesser degree of differentiability can be assumed.

Most of the results of this paper are novel even for the case that M is a finite dimensional manifold.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let M be a manifold, possibly infinite dimensional, modelled on the Banach space $\mathbb{E}$. For any $x_0 \in M$ define

$$C_{x_0} := \{ \gamma : (-\epsilon, \epsilon) \longrightarrow M ; \gamma(0) = x_0 \text{ and } \gamma \text{ is smooth} \}.$$

As a natural extension of the tangent bundle TM define the following equivalence relation. The curves $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_{x_0}$ are said to be k -equivalent, denoted by $\gamma_1 \approx_{x_0}^k \gamma_2$, if and only if $\gamma_1^{(j)}(0) = \gamma_2^{(j)}(0)$ for $1 \leq j \leq k$. Define $T_{x_0}^k M := C_{x_0} / \approx_{x_0}^k$ and the **tangent bundle of order k or k -osculating bundle** of M to be $T^k M := \bigcup_{x \in M} T_x^k M$. Denote by $[\gamma, x_0]_k$ the representative of the equivalence class containing γ and define the canonical projection $\pi_k : T^k M \longrightarrow M$ which projects $[\gamma, x_0]_k$ onto x_0 .

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a C^∞ atlas for M . For any $\alpha \in I$ define

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi_\alpha^k : \pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha) &\longrightarrow \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^k \\ [\gamma, x_0]_k &\longmapsto ((\psi_\alpha \circ \gamma)(0), (\psi_\alpha \circ \gamma)'(0), \dots, \frac{1}{k!}(\psi_\alpha \circ \gamma)^{(k)}(0)) \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.1. *The family $\mathcal{B} = \{(\pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Psi_\alpha^k)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ declares a smooth manifold structure on $T^k M$ which models it on $\mathbb{E}^{k+1}$.*

Proof. Clearly Ψ_α^k is well defined and $\bigcup_{\alpha \in I} \pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha) = T^k M$. Ψ_α^k is surjective. In fact for any $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^k$ the class $[\gamma, \psi_\alpha^{-1}(x)]_k$, with $\gamma := \psi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma}$ and $\bar{\gamma}(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \dots + t^k \xi_k$, is mapped to $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$ via Ψ_α^k . It is easy to show that Ψ_α^k is also injective.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $U_{\beta\alpha} := U_\beta \cap U_\alpha \neq \emptyset$ the overlap map

$$\Psi_{\beta\alpha}^k := \Psi_\beta^k \circ \Psi_\alpha^{k-1} : \psi_\alpha(U_{\beta\alpha}) \times \mathbb{E}^k \longrightarrow \psi_\beta(U_{\beta\alpha}) \times \mathbb{E}^k$$

is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\beta\alpha}^k(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) &= \Psi_{\beta}^k([\gamma, x_0]_k) \\
&= ((\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma)(0), (\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma)'(0), \dots, \frac{1}{k!}(\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma)^{(k)}(0)) \\
&= ((\psi_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma})(0), (\psi_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0), \dots, \frac{1}{k!}(\psi_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(k)}(0)) \\
&= (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_1, \dots, \frac{1}{k!}\{d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)[\bar{\gamma}^{(k)}(0)] \\
&\quad + \sum_{j_1+j_2=k} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^k d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)[\bar{\gamma}^{(j_1)}(0), \bar{\gamma}^{(j_2)}(0)] \\
&\quad + \dots + d^k\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\bar{\gamma}'(0), \dots, \bar{\gamma}'(0))\}) \\
&= (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_1, d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\xi_2) + \frac{1}{2}d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\xi_1, \xi_1), \dots \\
&\quad , \frac{1}{k!}\{d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)[k!\xi_k] + \sum_{j_1+j_2=k} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^k d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)[j_1!\xi_{j_1}, j_2!\xi_{j_2}] \\
&\quad + \dots + d^k\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\xi_1, \dots, \xi_1)\})
\end{aligned}$$

where $\psi_{\beta\alpha} = \psi_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\alpha}^{-1}$ and $\bar{\gamma}(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \dots + t^k\xi_k$ as before. Moreover we used the following explicit formula for the chain rule of order k

$$\begin{aligned}
(1) \quad & (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(k)}(0) = d^k(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})(0)(1, \dots, 1) \\
& = \sum_{i=1}^k \sum \frac{k!}{j_1! \dots j_i! m_1! \dots m_k!} d^i\psi_{\beta\alpha}(\bar{\gamma}(0))[\bar{\gamma}^{(j_1)}(0), \dots, \bar{\gamma}^{(j_i)}(0)]
\end{aligned}$$

where the second sum is over all (ordered) i -tuples $(j_1, \dots, j_i)$ of positive integers such that $j_1 + \dots + j_i = k$ and m_1 of the numbers $l_1, \dots, l_i$ are equal to 1, m_2 are equal to 2 and so on ([3], p. 234, [9], p. 359). The coefficient $\frac{k!}{j_1! \dots j_i! m_1! \dots m_k!}$ will henceforth be denoted by $a_{(j_1, \dots, j_i)}^k$. $\square$

Due to the transition functions of the bundle $(\pi_k, T^k M, M)$, we can see that generally it is a smooth fibre bundle.

To compute the local forms for the change of charts of $TT^k M$ on overlaps we remind some facts about fibre bundles. Let $p : E \rightarrow M$ be a smooth Banach fibre bundle with fibres diffeomorphic to the Banach manifold F and the Banach spaces $\mathbb{E}$ and $\mathbb{B}$ are the model spaces for F and M respectively. Suppose that $\Phi = (\phi, \bar{\phi}) : E|_U \rightarrow \phi(U) \times \bar{\phi}(E|_U) \subseteq \phi(U) \times \mathbb{E}$ be a local trivialization where (U, ϕ) is a chart of M and let $(\Psi = (\psi, \bar{\psi}), V)$ be another local trivialization with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Then $\Psi \circ \Phi^{-1}(x, \xi) = ((\psi \circ \phi^{-1})(x), G_{\Psi\Phi}(x, \xi))$ where $G_{\Psi\Phi} : U \cap V \times \bar{\phi}(E|_{U \cap V}) \subseteq U \cap V \times \mathbb{E} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}$ is smooth. The canonical induced trivialization for TE is

$$\begin{aligned}
(2) \quad T(\Psi \circ \Phi^{-1})(x, \xi; y, \eta) &= ((\psi \circ \phi^{-1})(x), G_{\Psi\Phi}(x, \xi), d(\psi \circ \phi^{-1})(x)y \\
&\quad , \partial_1 G_{\Psi\Phi}(x, \xi)y + \partial_2 G_{\Psi\Phi}(x, \xi)\eta).
\end{aligned}$$

for any $(x, \xi, y, \eta) \in \phi(U \cap V) \times \bar{\phi}(E|_{U \cap V}) \times \mathbb{B} \times \mathbb{E}$. (Throughout this paper the symbol ∂_i denotes the partial derivative with respect to the i -th variable.)

Now using the transition functions for the bundle $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ we

can compute the transformation rule of natural charts of $TT^k M$. For any $u = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in U_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}^k$ and $(y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in \mathbb{E}^{k+1}$ we have

$$(3) \quad T\Psi_{\beta\alpha}^k(u; y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) = \left(\Psi_{\beta\alpha}^k(u); d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)y, \bar{\eta}_1, \dots, \bar{\eta}_k \right)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\eta}_i &= \frac{1}{i!} \{ d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(i!\eta_i) + \sum_{j_1+j_2=i} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^i [d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(j_1!\eta_{j_1}, j_2!\xi_{j_2}) \\ &\quad + d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(j_1!\xi_{j_1}, j_2!\eta_{j_2})] + \dots + id^i\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\xi_1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_1, \eta_1) \\ &\quad + d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(i!\xi_i, y) + \sum_{j_1+j_2=i} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^i d^3\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(j_1!\xi_{j_1}, j_2!\xi_{j_2}, y) \\ &\quad + \dots + d^{i+1}\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\xi_1, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_1, y) \} \\ &= \frac{1}{i!} \frac{\partial^{i+1}}{\partial s \partial t^i} (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c})(t, s)|_{t=s=0} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{c} : (-\epsilon, \epsilon)^2 &\longrightarrow \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \subseteq \mathbb{E} \\ (t, s) &\longmapsto x + sy + \sum_{j=1}^i t^j (\xi_j + \eta_j). \end{aligned}$$

3. TANGENT BUNDLE OF ORDER k FOR BANACH MANIFOLDS

This section includes two parts. In the first part for any linear connection ∇ on M , in the sense of Vilms [14], we determine a v.b. morphism $K : TT^k M \longrightarrow \oplus_{i=1}^k TM$ which may be thought as an special lift of connections. This kind of lift, named connection maps by Bucataru [4], induces nonlinear connections on $T^k M$. Then using K as a key, we determine a v.b. structure on $\pi_k : T^k M \longrightarrow M$ which is followed with a suitable converse.

3.1. Connection maps in higher order geometry. Consider the $C^\infty(T^k M)$ -linear map $J : \mathfrak{X}(T^k M) \longrightarrow \mathfrak{X}(T^k M)$ such that locally on a chart $(\pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Psi_\alpha^k)$ is given by

$$J_\alpha(u; y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) = (u; 0, y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_{k-1}).$$

for any $u = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in T^k M$ and every $(u; y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in T_u T^k M$.

Definition 3.1. A connection map on $T^k M$ is a vector bundle morphism

$$K = (\overset{1}{K}, \overset{2}{K}, \dots, \overset{k}{K}) : TT^k M \longrightarrow \left(\oplus_{i=1}^k TM, \oplus_{i=1}^k \tau_M, \oplus_{i=1}^k M \right)$$

such that for any $1 \leq a \leq k-1$, $\overset{k}{K} \circ J^a = \overset{k-a}{K}$ and $\overset{k}{K} \circ J^k = \pi_{k*}$

Bucataru defined this connection map in the finite dimensional context [4]. Note that

$$\overset{a}{K} = \overset{k}{K} \circ J^{k-a} = \overset{k}{K} \circ J^{k-a-1} \circ J = \overset{a+1}{K} \circ J$$

and $\overset{a}{K} \circ J^a = (\overset{k}{K} \circ J^{k-a}) \circ J^a = \pi_{k*}$.

Lemma 3.2. *Locally on a chart $(\pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Psi_\alpha^k)$ the connection map*

$$\oplus_{i=1}^k \Psi_\alpha^1 \circ K \circ T\Psi_\alpha^{k-1} := K_\alpha = (\overset{1}{K}_\alpha, \dots, \overset{k}{K}_\alpha)$$

at $(u; y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in T_u T^k M$ is given by

$$(4) \quad K|_\alpha(u; y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) = \bigoplus_{i=1}^k \left(x, \eta_i + \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(u) \eta_{i-1} + \overset{2}{M}_\alpha(u) \eta_{i-2} + \dots + \overset{i}{M}_\alpha(u) y \right)$$

Proof. Since K is bundle morphism there are local maps $\overset{i}{M}_\alpha: U_\alpha \times \mathbb{E}^k \longrightarrow L(\mathbb{E}, \mathbb{E})$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, such that

$$K_\alpha(u; y, 0, \dots, 0) = (x, \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(u)y) \oplus (x, \overset{2}{M}_\alpha(u)y) \oplus \dots \oplus (x, \overset{k}{M}_\alpha(u)y).$$

Moreover due to the facts $\overset{a}{K} \circ J^a = \pi_{k*}$ and $\overset{a}{K} = \overset{a+1}{K} \circ J$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} K_\alpha(u; 0, \eta_1, 0, \dots, 0) &= K_\alpha \circ J(u; \eta_1, 0, \dots, 0) \\ &= (x, \eta_1) \oplus (x, \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(u)\eta_1) \oplus \dots \oplus (x, \overset{k-1}{M}_\alpha(u)\eta_1) \end{aligned}$$

and likewise

$$K_\alpha(u; 0, 0, \eta_2, 0, \dots, 0) = (x, 0) \oplus (x, \eta_2) \oplus (x, \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(u)\eta_2) \dots \oplus (x, \overset{k-2}{M}_\alpha(u)\eta_2).$$

which completes the proof. $\square$

For any $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $U_{\beta\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ the compatibility condition for $\overset{i}{M}_\alpha$ and $\overset{i}{M}_\beta$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, on the overlaps comes from the fact $\bigoplus_{i=1}^k T\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ K_\alpha = K_\beta \circ T\Psi_{\beta\alpha}^k$. We apply equality (3) and the local form of K to obtain

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)[\eta_i + \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(u)\eta_{i-1} + \dots + \overset{i}{M}_\alpha(u)y] \\ = \bar{\eta}_i + \overset{1}{M}_\beta(\bar{u})\bar{\eta}_{i-1} + \overset{2}{M}_\beta(\bar{u})\bar{\eta}_{i-2} + \dots + \overset{i}{M}_\beta(\bar{u})\bar{y} \end{aligned}$$

for any $u \in T^k M$ and any $(u, y, \eta_1, \dots, \eta_k) \in T_u T^k M$. Moreover $\bar{y} = d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)y$ and $\bar{\eta}_1, \dots, \bar{\eta}_k$ are as in the equation (3).

Theorem 3.3. *Let ∇ be a linear connection on M with the local components $\{\Gamma_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$. There exists an induced connection map on $T^k M$ with the following local components.*

$$\begin{aligned} \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1)y &= \Gamma_\alpha(x, \xi_1)y \\ \overset{2}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1, \xi_2)y &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^2 \partial_i \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1)(y, i\xi_i) + \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1)[\overset{1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1)y] \right), \\ &\vdots \\ \overset{k}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)y &= \frac{1}{k} \left(\sum_{i=1}^k \partial_i \overset{k-1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1})(y, i\xi_i) \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \overset{1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1)[\overset{k-1}{M}_\alpha(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1})y] \right) \end{aligned}$$

The proof of the compatibility condition for $\overset{i}{M}_\alpha$ and $\overset{i}{M}_\beta$ on overlaps can be found in [13].

Note that kernel of K is a distribution, say $H\pi_k$, on $T^k M$ complementary to the canonical vertical distribution $V\pi_k$. The horizontal distribution $H\pi_k$ is called the nonlinear connection associated to K [10].

3.2. $T^k M$ as a vector bundle. For $k \geq 2$, the bundle structure defined in theorem 2.1 is quite far from being a v.b. due to the complicated nonlinear transition functions. Here we propose a v.b. structure on $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ which makes it a smooth v.b. isomorphic to k copies of TM . The converse of the problem is also true i.e. a v.b. structure on $T^k M$ isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$, for $k \geq 2$, yields a linear connection on M . Moreover it will be shown that if for some integer $k \geq 2$, $T^k M$ becomes a v.b over M with the before-mentioned property then $T^i M$ also admits a v.b. structure over M for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$. These v.b. structures simplifies the study of higher tangent bundles. For example as a consequence, we propose a lifted Riemannian metric to $T^k M$ which only depends to the original given metric on the base manifold M .

Theorem 3.4. *Let ∇ be a linear connection on M and K be the induced connection map introduced in theorem 3.3. The following trivializations define a vector bundle structure on $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ with the structure group $GL(\mathbb{E}^k)$.*

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_\alpha^k : \pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha) &\longrightarrow \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^k \\ [\gamma, x]_k &\longmapsto (\gamma_\alpha(0), \gamma'_\alpha(0), z_\alpha^2([\gamma, x]_k), \dots, z_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k)) \end{aligned}$$

where $\gamma_\alpha = \psi_\alpha \circ \gamma$ and

$$\begin{aligned} z_\alpha^2([\gamma, x]_k) &= \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \frac{1}{1!} \gamma''_\alpha(0) + M_\alpha [\gamma_\alpha(0), \gamma'_\alpha(0)] \gamma'_\alpha(0) \right\}, \dots, \\ z_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k) &= \frac{1}{k} \left\{ \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \gamma_\alpha^{(k)}(0) + \frac{1}{(k-2)!} M_\alpha [\gamma_\alpha(0), \gamma'_\alpha(0)] \gamma_\alpha^{(k-1)}(0) + \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. + M_\alpha [\gamma_\alpha(0), \gamma'_\alpha(0), \dots, \frac{1}{(k-1)!} \gamma_\alpha^{(k-1)}(0)] \gamma'_\alpha(0) \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover for any $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^k$ we have

$$\Phi_\beta^k \circ \Phi_\alpha^{k-1}(x, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_1, \dots, d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_k).$$

Proof. Clearly for any $\alpha \in I$, Φ_α^k is well defined and injective.

For any $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k) \in \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^k$ we show that there exists a curve γ in M such that $\Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k) = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$. If $\bar{\gamma}_2(t) = x + t\xi_1 + \frac{t^2}{2} \{2\xi_2 - M_\alpha(x, \xi_1)\xi_1\}$ then $z_\alpha^2([\gamma_2, x]_k) = \xi_2$ where $\gamma_2(t) = \psi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma}_2(t)$. Now by induction we assume that for $i-1 < k$ there exists $\bar{\gamma}_{i-1}$, a polynomial of degree $i-1$, such that $\gamma_{i-1} = \psi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma}_{i-1}$ and $z_\alpha^j([\gamma_j, x]_k) = \xi_j$ for $2 \leq j \leq i-1$. Now $\bar{\gamma}_i$ is defined by setting

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\gamma}_i(t) &= \bar{\gamma}_{i-1}(t) + \frac{t^i}{i} \left\{ i\xi_i - \frac{1}{(i-2)!} M_\alpha(x, \xi_1) \bar{\gamma}_{i-1}^{(i-1)}(0) - \dots \right. \\ &\quad \left. M_\alpha(x, \xi_1, \frac{1}{2!} \bar{\gamma}_2^{(2)}(0), \dots, \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \bar{\gamma}_{i-1}^{(i-1)}(0)) \xi_1 \right\} \end{aligned}$$

and $\gamma_i(t) = \psi_\alpha^{-1} \circ \bar{\gamma}_i(t)$. Set $\gamma = \gamma_k$. As a result $z^k([\gamma, x]_k) = \xi_k$ which means that $\Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k) = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_k)$. Since $proj_1 \circ \Phi_\alpha^k = \pi_k$ it follows that $T^k M$ is a fibre bundle.

For any $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $U_{\beta\alpha} \neq \emptyset$ we prove that $\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^k := \Phi_\beta^k \circ \Phi_\alpha^{k-1}$ induces a

linear isomorphism between fibers. In fact we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^k(x, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) &= \Phi_{\beta}^k([\gamma, x]_k) \\ &= \left((\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma)(0), (\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma)'(0), z_{\beta}^2([\gamma, x]_k), \dots, z_{\beta}^k([\gamma, x]_k) \right).\end{aligned}$$

Step 1. Since $\psi_{\beta} \circ \gamma = \psi_{\beta} \circ \psi_{\alpha}^{-1} \circ \psi_{\alpha} \circ \gamma = \psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma}$, for any $2 \leq i \leq k$ we get

$$\begin{aligned}iz_{\beta}^i([\gamma, x]_k) &= \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} + \frac{1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{i-1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!}) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0).\end{aligned}$$

Using the chain rule formula (1) we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned}iz_{\beta}^i([\gamma, x]_k) &= \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} + \frac{1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{i-1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!}) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \{ d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}^{(i)}(0)] + \sum_{j_1+j_2=i} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^i d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}^{(j_1)}(0), \bar{\gamma}^{(j_2)}(0)] \\ &\quad + \dots + d^i\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}'(0), \dots, \bar{\gamma}'(0)] \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{i-1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!}) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0) \\ &= d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) \left[i\xi_i - \frac{1}{M_{\alpha}} (x, \xi_1) \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-2)!} - \dots - \frac{i-1}{M_{\alpha}} (x, \xi_1, \dots, \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!}) \bar{\gamma}'(0) \right] \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(i-1)!} \{ \sum_{j_1+j_2=i} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^i d^2\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}^{(j_1)}(0), \bar{\gamma}^{(j_2)}(0)] + \dots \\ &\quad + d^i\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}'(0), \dots, \bar{\gamma}'(0)] \} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} \\ &\quad + \dots + \frac{i-1}{M_{\beta}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!}) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)\end{aligned}$$

Step 2. Setting $x = \bar{\gamma}(0)$, $\xi_1 = \bar{\gamma}'(0)$, $\dots$, $\xi_{i-1} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!}$, $y = \bar{\gamma}'(0)$, $\eta_1 = \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(2)}(0)}{1!}$, $\dots$, $\eta_{i-1} = \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i)}}{(i-1)!}$ and

$$\bar{c}_i(t, s) = \bar{\gamma}(0) + s\bar{\gamma}'(0) + \sum_{l=1}^{i-1} \frac{t^l}{l!} (\bar{\gamma}^{(l)}(0) + s\bar{\gamma}^{(l+1)}(0)),$$

then, equation (5) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) \left[M_{\alpha}^1(x, \xi_1) \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-2)!} + \cdots + M_{\alpha}^{i-1}(x, \xi_1, \dots, \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!}) \bar{\gamma}'(0) \right] \\
&= -d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} + \frac{\partial^i}{\partial s \partial t^{i-1}} \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)}{(i-1)!}(0, 0) \\
&\quad + M_{\beta}^1 \left((\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0), \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0) \right) \frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial s \partial t^{i-2}} \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)}{(i-2)!}(0, 0) + \cdots \\
&\quad + M_{\beta}^{i-1} \left((\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0), \dots, \frac{\partial^{i-1}}{\partial t^{i-1}} \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)}{(i-1)!}(0, 0) \right) \frac{\partial}{\partial s} (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0).
\end{aligned}$$

It is not hard to check that, for any $1 \leq l \leq i-1$, $\frac{\partial^l}{\partial s \partial t^{l-1}} (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0) = (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(l)}(0)$ and $\frac{\partial^l}{\partial t^l} (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{c}_i)(0, 0) = (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(l)}(0)$.

These last two equations yield

$$\begin{aligned}
& d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) \left[-M_{\alpha}^1(x, \xi_1) \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-2)!} - \cdots - M_{\alpha}^{i-1}(x, \xi_1, \dots, \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i-1)}}{(i-1)!}) \bar{\gamma}'(0) \right] \\
&= +d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) \frac{\bar{\gamma}^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} - \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i)}(0)}{(i-1)!} \\
&\quad - M_{\beta}^1 \left(\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0) \right) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} - \cdots \\
&\quad - M_{\beta}^{i-1} \left(\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!} \right) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0) \\
&= -\frac{1}{(i-1)!} \left\{ \sum_{j_1+j_2=i} a_{(j_1, j_2)}^i d^2 \psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}^{(j_1)}(0), \bar{\gamma}^{(j_2)}(0)] + \cdots \right. \\
&\quad \left. + d^i \psi_{\beta\alpha}(x) [\bar{\gamma}'(0), \dots, \bar{\gamma}'(0)] \right\} \\
&\quad - M_{\beta}^1 \left(\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0) \right) \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-2)!} - \cdots \\
&\quad - M_{\beta}^{i-1} \left(\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), \dots, \frac{(\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})^{(i-1)}(0)}{(i-1)!} \right) (\psi_{\beta\alpha} \circ \bar{\gamma})'(0)
\end{aligned}$$

Step 3. As a consequence of steps 1 and 2 we get

$$iz_{\beta}^i([\gamma, x]_k) = id\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_i \quad ; \quad 2 \leq i \leq k$$

that is

$$\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^k(x, \xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_k) = \left(\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x), d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_1, \dots, d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)\xi_k \right).$$

This last means that for any $\alpha, \beta \in I$ with $U_{\beta\alpha} \neq \emptyset$

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^k : U_{\beta\alpha} &\longrightarrow GL(\mathbb{E}^k) \\
x &\longmapsto \left(d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\cdot), \dots, d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\cdot) \right).
\end{aligned}$$

is smooth. As a result, the family of trivializations $\{(\pi_k^{-1}(U_{\alpha}), \Phi_{\alpha}^k)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ provides a vector bundle structure for $\pi_k : T^k M \longrightarrow M$ with the fibres isomorphic to $\mathbb{E}^k$. Moreover, since $\pi_k : T^k M \longrightarrow M$ and $\oplus_{i=1}^k \pi_1 : \oplus_{i=1}^k TM \longrightarrow M$

have the same transition functions and fibres, then they are isomorphic vector bundles over M . $\square$

The v.b. structure which is proposed in theorem 3.4 is affective in the following sense:

Proposition 3.5. *Suppose that for some $k \geq 2$, $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$. Then $\pi_{k-1} : T^{k-1} M \rightarrow M$ also possesses a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^{k-1} TM$.*

Proof. Let $\{(\pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Phi_\alpha^k)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be a family of trivializations for $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ induced by the atlas $\mathcal{A} = \{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ of M as in theorem 3.4. Then for any $[\gamma, x]_k \in T_x^k M$ we have

$$\Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k) = (\psi_\alpha(x), (\psi_\alpha \circ \gamma)'(0), z_\alpha^2([\gamma, x]_k), \dots, z_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k)).$$

We claim that $\{(\pi_{k-1}^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Phi_\alpha^{k-1})\}_{\alpha \in I}$ defines a v.b. structure on $\pi_{k-1} : T^{k-1} M \rightarrow M$ where

$$\Phi_\alpha^{k-1}([\gamma, x]_k) = (\psi_\alpha(x), (\psi_\alpha \circ \gamma)'(0), z_\alpha^2([\gamma, x]_k), \dots, z_\alpha^{k-1}([\gamma, x]_k)).$$

We show that Φ_α^{k-1} is bijective. In fact suppose that $\Phi_\alpha^{k-1}([\gamma_1, x]_{k-1}) = \Phi_\alpha^{k-1}([\gamma_2, x]_{k-1})$ then consider representatives of the classes $[\gamma_1, x]_{k-1}$ and $[\gamma_2, x]_{k-1}$ such that $\gamma_1^{(k)}(0) = \gamma_2^{(k)}(0)$. Since $\Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma_1, x]_k) = \Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma_2, x]_k)$ then injectivity of Ψ_α^k yields $[\gamma_1, x]_k = [\gamma_2, x]_k$ which means that $[\gamma_1, x]_{k-1} = [\gamma_2, x]_{k-1}$.

Suppose that $(x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}) \in \psi_\alpha(U_\alpha) \times \mathbb{E}^{k-1}$ be an arbitrary element. Since Φ_α^k is bijective, there exists $[\gamma, x]_k \in T_x^k M$ such that

$$\Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma, x]_k) = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1}, 0).$$

Clearly $\Phi_\alpha^{k-1}([\gamma, x]_{k-1}) = (x, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_{k-1})$ that is Φ_α^{k-1} is surjective. Finally

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\beta\alpha}^{k-1} : \psi_\alpha(U_{\beta\alpha}) &\longrightarrow GL(\mathbb{E}^{k-1}) \\ x &\longmapsto \underbrace{(d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\cdot), \dots, d\psi_{\beta\alpha}(x)(\cdot))}_{(k-1)\text{-times}} \end{aligned}$$

is smooth. According to proposition 1.2 page 45 of [8], we deduce that $\pi_{k-1} : T^{k-1} M \rightarrow M$ admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^{k-1} TM$. $\square$

If we restrict our attention to C^k -partitionable manifolds (see e.g. [12]) then, we have the following inverse for theorem 3.4.

Theorem 3.6. *Suppose that $k \geq 2$. If $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$, then a linear connection on M can be defined.*

Proof. For $k > 2$ one can iterate lemma 3.5 and conclude that $\pi_2 : T^2 M \rightarrow M$ admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $TM \oplus TM$. Then according to [5] theorem 3.4 or [12] theorem 2.3 there exists a linear connection on M . $\square$

Corollary 3.7. *i. For $k \geq 2$, $\pi_k : T^k M \rightarrow M$ admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$ if and only if M is endowed with a linear connection. ii. If for some $k \geq 2$, π_k becomes a v.b. isomorphic to $\oplus_{i=1}^k TM$ then for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ the tangent bundle $T^i M$ also admits a v.b. structure isomorphic to $\oplus_{j=1}^i TM$.*

3.3. Lifting of a Riemannian metric. Invoking theorem 3.4 we introduce an special lift of a given Riemannian metric g from the base manifold M to its higher order tangent bundle $T^k M$. Let $\{(U_\alpha, \psi_\alpha)\}_{\alpha \in I}$ be an atlas for M . Denote by $g_\alpha : TU_\alpha \times TU_\alpha \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ the local representative of the metric g restricted to the chart (U_α, ψ_α) . Fix $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the v.b. trivializations introduced in theorem 3.4. For every $\alpha \in I$ define the bilinear symmetric form

$$G_\alpha^k : \pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha) \times \pi_k^{-1}(U_\alpha) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

mapping $([\gamma_1, x]_k, [\gamma_2, x]_k)$ to

$$\sum_{i=1}^k g_\alpha(x) \left(\text{proj}_i \circ \Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma_1, x]_k), \text{proj}_i \circ \Phi_\alpha^k([\gamma_2, x]_k) \right)$$

where proj_i stands for the projection to the $(i+1)$ 'th factor.

Due to the transition functions $\Phi_{\beta\alpha}^k$ the family $\{G_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in I}$ defines a Riemannian metric on $T^k M$.

Remark 3.8. In the case that M is modeled on a Hilbert manifold (or a self dual Banach space [8]), we deal with Riemannian metrics. But if we go one step further then we will loose the definiteness condition of our metrics.

4. INFINITE ORDER TANGENT BUNDLE

For any $x \in M$ and $\gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in C_x$ define the **infinite equivalence relation** denoted by $\approx^\infty$ as follows

$$\gamma_1 \approx_x^\infty \gamma_2 \text{ if and only if for any } k \in \mathbb{N}, \gamma_1 \approx_x^k \gamma_2.$$

The equivalence class containing γ is called an infinite tangent vector at x and is denoted by $[\gamma, x]_\infty$. Alternatively, we may set $[\gamma, x]_\infty = \cap_{k=1}^\infty [\gamma, x]_k$ where the intersection is non-empty since γ belongs to $[\gamma, x]_k$ for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$. The **infinite tangent space** at x , $T_x^\infty M$ is defined to be $T_x^\infty M := C_x / \approx_x^\infty$. The infinite tangent bundle to M is denoted by $T^\infty M$ where $T^\infty M := \cup_{x \in M} T_x^\infty M$. The canonical projection $\pi_\infty : T^\infty M \rightarrow M$ projects the equivalence class $[\gamma, x]_\infty$ onto x . If no confusion can arise, we write $T^\infty M$ for both $T^\infty M$ as a manifold and $T^\infty M$ as a bundle over M .

We now propose a generalized Fréchet manifold (v.b.) structure for $T^\infty M$ that will be of aid in considering $T^\infty M$ as the projective limit of Banach manifolds (v.b.'s) $T^k M$.

There are natural difficulties with Fréchet manifolds, bundles and even spaces. For example the pathological structure of the general linear group on Fréchet spaces puts in the question defining a v.b. structure for $T^\infty M$ [2], [6]. Moreover there are serious drawbacks in the study of differential equations on Fréchet manifolds [1], [7]. In order to overcome these difficulties we will use the projective limit tools to endow $T^\infty M$ with a reasonable manifold and v.b. structure.

First we give some hints about a wide class of Fréchet manifolds i.e. those which may be considered as projective limits of Banach manifolds (For more details see [5] and the references therein). Let $\{M^i, \phi^{ji}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a projective family of Banach manifolds where the model spaces $\{\mathbb{E}^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$, respectively, also form a projective system of Banach spaces with the given connecting

morphisms $\{\rho^{ji} : \mathbb{E}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i; j \geq i\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$. Elements of $M := \varprojlim M^i$ consist of all threads $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \prod_{i=1}^{\infty} M^i$ where $\phi^{ji}(x_j) = x_i$ for all $j \geq i$. Suppose that for every thread $(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in \varprojlim M^i$ there exists a projective system of charts $\{U^i, \phi^i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that $x_i \in U^i$ and $\varprojlim U^i$ is open in $M := \varprojlim M^i$. Then M admits a Fréchet manifold structure on $\mathbb{F}$ with the corresponding charts $\{\varprojlim U^i, \varprojlim \phi^i\}$. Furthermore for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have the natural projections $\phi_i : M \rightarrow M^i$; $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto x_i$ and $\rho_i : (e_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto e_i$.

In our case for any natural number i , $M^i := T^i M$ and $\mathbb{E}^i := \overbrace{\mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \dots \times \mathbb{E}}^{i+1 \text{ times}}$ with the usual product norm $\|\cdot\|_i$. For $j \geq i$, the connecting morphism $\phi^{ji} : T^j M \rightarrow T^i M$ maps the class $[\gamma, x]_j$ onto $[\gamma, x]_i$ and $\rho^{ji} : \mathbb{E}^j \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i$ is just projection to the first $i+1$ factors. The canonical projective systems of charts are $\{(\pi_i^{-1}(U_\alpha), \Phi_\alpha^i)\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ rising from theorem 3.4. Consequently $T^\infty M$ admits a **smooth Fréchet manifold structure** modeled on the Fréchet space $\mathbb{F} = \varprojlim \mathbb{E}^i \subseteq \prod_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{E}^k$. Note that $\mathbb{F}$ is a Fréchet space with the associated metric

$$d(x, y) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{\|x_i - y_i\|_i}{2^i(1 + \|x_i - y_i\|_i)}$$

where $x, y \in \mathbb{F} := \varprojlim \mathbb{E}^i$, $x_i = \rho_i(x)$, $y_i = \rho_i(y)$ and $\rho_i : \mathbb{F} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}^i$; $(x_k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mapsto x_i$ is the canonical projection.

In a further step we will try to supply $\pi_\infty : T^\infty M \rightarrow M$ with a generalized v.b. structure.

Suppose that for any $i \in \mathbb{N}$, (π_i, E^i, M) be a Banach v.b. on M with the fibres of type $\mathbb{E}^i$ where $\{\mathbb{E}^i, \rho^{ji}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ also forms a projective system of Banach spaces. With these notations we state the following definition from [6].

Definition 4.1. The system $\{(\pi_i, E^i, M), f^{ji}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is called a **strong projective system of Banach v.b.'s** over the same basis M if;

- i) $\{E^i, f^{ji}\}_{i,j \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a projective system of Banach manifolds.
- ii) For any $(x^i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \in F := \varprojlim E^i$, there exists a projective system of trivializations $\tau^i : \pi_i^{-1}(U) \rightarrow U \times \mathbb{E}^i$ of (E^i, π_i, M) such that $x^i \in U \subseteq M$ and $(id_U \times \rho^{ji}) \circ \tau^j = \tau^i \circ f^{ji}$ for all $j \geq i$.

Now the projective systems of v.b.'s is defined by setting $E^i := T^i M$, $\tau_\alpha^i := (\psi_\alpha^{-1} \times id_{\mathbb{E}^i}) \circ \Phi_\alpha^i$ and ϕ^{ji}, ρ^{ji} as in the previous part.

For any $i \in \mathbb{N}$ define the Banach Lie group

$$\mathcal{H}_i^0(\mathbb{E}^i) = \{(l_1, \dots, l_i) \in \prod_{j=1}^i \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{E}^j, \mathbb{E}^j); \rho^{jk} \circ l_j = l_k \circ \rho^{jk} \text{ for all } k \leq j \leq i\}$$

Using proposition 1.2 of [6] we conclude that $\pi_\infty : T^\infty M \rightarrow M$ admits a generalized v.b. structure over M with fibres isomorphic to the Fréchet space $\mathbb{F} = \varprojlim \mathbb{E}^i$ and the structure group $\mathcal{H}^0(\mathbb{F}) := \varprojlim \mathcal{H}_i^0(\mathbb{E}^i)$.

Remark 4.2. In the case where M is a finite dimensional manifold, $T^\infty M$ becomes a Fréchet v.b. over M with fibres isomorphic to the known Fréchet space $\mathbb{R}^\infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] M. Aghasi, C.T.J. Dodson, G.N. Galanis and A. Suri, *Infinite dimensional second order ordinary differential equations via T^2M* , J. Nonlinear Analysis. **67** (2007), pp.2829-2838.
- [2] M. Aghasi and A. Suri, *Splitting theorems for the double tangent bundles of Fréchet manifolds*. Balkan journal of geometry and its applications, No. 15-2, 2010, pp. 1-13.
- [3] V. I. Averbuh and O. G. Smolyanov, *Differentiation theory in linear topological spaces*, Uspehi Mat. Nauk 6, Russian Math. Surveys, No.6, (1967), pp. 201-258.
- [4] I. Bucataru, *Linear connections for systems of higher order differential equations*, Houston J. Math., Vol.31, No.(2)(2005) 315-332.
- [5] C.T.J. Dodson and G.N. Galanis, *Second order tangent bundles of infinite dimensional manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys., 52 (2004), pp. 127-136.
- [6] G.N. Galanis, *Projective limits of Banach vector bundles*, , Portugaliae Mathematica, vol. 55, Fasc. 1-1998, pp. 11-24.
- [7] R.S. Hamilton, *The inverse function theorem of Nash and Moser*, Bull. of Amer. Math. Soc., Vol.7, No.1 (1982), 65-222.
- [8] S. Lang, *Fundamentals of differential geometry*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 191, Springer-Verlag, 1999.
- [9] J. W. Lloyd, *Higher order derivatives in topological linear spaces*, J. Austral. Math. Soc. 25 (Series A) (1978) 348-361.
- [10] R. Miron, *The geometry of higher order Lagrange spaces applications to Mechanics and Physics*, Kluwer Academic publishers, 1997.
- [11] A. Morimoto, *Liftings of tensor fields and connections to tangent bundles of higher order*, Nagoya Math. J., Vol.40, (1970), 99-120.
- [12] A. Suri, *Geometry of the double tangent bundles of Banach manifolds*, J. Geom. Phys. Vol. 74, 2013, 91–100.
- [13] A. Suri, *Isomorphism classes for higher order tangent bundles*, arXiv:1412.7321, 2014.
- [14] J. Vilms, *Connections on tangent bundles*, J. Diff. Geom. Vol.1, (1967) 235-243.

GROUP OF MATHEMATICS, FACULTY OF SCIENCE,, BU ALI SINA UNIVERSITY, HAMEDAN 65178, IRAN.

E-mail address: ali.suri@gmail.cim & a.suri@math.iut.ac.ir